

Powers of derivations on semiprime rings

T.Madhavi, Prof. K.Suvarna

Abstract :

Chung and Luh [1] studied semiprime rings with nilpotent derivatives and established the result for $(n-1)!$ -torsion free semiprime rings. Giambruno and Herstein [2] proved the same result without assuming that R is $(n-1)!$ -torsion free. Bresar [3] generalized the result of Chung and Luh. Herstein proved some related results in [4] and [5]. In this paper we prove that if R is an $(n-1)!$ -torsion free semiprime ring with a derivation d such that $bd(x)^n a = 0$ for $a, b \in R$ and for all $x \in R$, then $bd(x)a = 0$ for all $x \in R$.

Key words : Prime ring, semiprime ring, derivative, 2-torsion free ring.

----- ◆ -----

I. Introduction :

We know that an additive map d from a ring R to R is called a derivation on R if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ for all x, y in R . A ring R is called prime if and only if $xay = 0$ for all a in R implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$ and semiprime if and only if $xax = 0$ for all a in R implies $x = 0$.

Throughout this paper R denotes an $(n-1)!$ -torsion free ring with a derivation d such that $bd(x)^n a = 0$.

II. Main Theorem :

To prove the main Theorem we need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 1.1: Let R be a $m!$ -torsion free ring. Suppose that $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m \in R$ satisfy $kt_1 + k^2t_2 + \dots + k^mt_m = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then $t_i = 0$ for all i .

Lemma 1.2: For all $x, y \in R$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d(x)^k d(y) d(x)^{n-k-1} a = 0. \quad 1.1$$

Using these, we prove the following.

Lemma 1.3: For all $x, y \in R$, $d^2(x)ybd(x)^{n-1}a = 0$.

Proof: Replacing y by $d(x)y$ in 1.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d(x)^k d(d(x)y) d(x)^{n-k-1} a &= 0, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d(x)^k (d^2(x)y + d(x)d(y)) d(x)^{n-k-1} a &= 0, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d(x)^k d^2(x)y d(x)^{n-k-1} a + \\ d(x) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d(x)^k d(y) d(x)^{n-k-1} a &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the relation 1.1, this reduces to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d(x)^k d^2(x)y d(x)^{n-k-1} a = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R \quad 1.2$$

Replacing $y = ybd(x)^{n-1}$ in the relation 1.2, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d(x)^k d^2(x)ybd(x)^{e(n-k)-1} a = 0.$$

Since $bd(x)^n a = 0$, we get $d(x)^{n-1} d^2(x)ybd(x)^{n-1} a = 0$.

We will prove this Lemma by showing that

$$d(x)^{r+1} d^2(x)ybd(x)^{n-1} a = 0,$$

where $r \geq 0$ is any integer, which implies

$$d(x)^r d^2(x)ybd(x)^{n-1} a = 0.$$

Taking $y = ybd(x)^r$ in the relation 1.2, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d(x)^k d^2(x)ybd(x)^{n-k-1+r} a = 0.$$

Since $bd(x)^n a = 0$, this relation reduces to

$$d(x)^r d^2(x) ybd(x)^{n-1} a + \sum_{k=r+1}^{n-1} d(x)^k d^2(x) ybd(x)^{n-k-1+r} a = 0$$

Hence if u is an arbitrary element in R , then

$$\begin{aligned} & (d(x)^r d^2(x) ybd(x)^{n-1} a) u (d(x)^r d^2(x) ybd(x)^{n-1} a) = \\ & - \sum_{k=r+1}^{n-1} d(x)^k d^2(x) ybd(x)^{n-k-1+r} a u (d(x)^r d^2(x) ybd(x)^{n-1} a) \\ & = - \sum_{k=r+1}^{n-1} d(x)^k d^2(x) ybd(x)^{n-k-1+r} a u d(x)^r d^2(x) ybd(x)^{n-1} a \end{aligned}$$

= 0. by hypothesis.

By semiprimeness of R , this relation implies that

$$d(x)^r d^2(x) ybd(x)^{n-1} a = 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 1.4: For all $x, y, z \in R$,

$$d^2(z) ybd(x)^{n-1} a = 0 \quad 1.3$$

Proof: By Lemma 1.3 we have

$$d^2(x) ybd(x)^{n-1} a = 0.$$

Linearizing, we obtain

$$T(x, z) = d^2(x+z) ybd(x+z)^{n-1} a = 0.$$

That is, $(d^2(x) + d^2(z)) yb (d(x) + d(z))^{n-1} a = 0$.

Let us take $(d(x) + d(z))^{n-1}$ as $\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{n-1}$ where γ_j denotes the sum of these terms in which $d(x)$ appears as a factor in the product j times. Since $d^2(x) ybd(x)^{n-1} a = d^2(z) ybd(x)^{n-1} a = 0$, we have

$$T(x, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} d^2(x) yb \gamma_k a + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d^2(z) yb \gamma_j a = 0.$$

Thus if $t_k = d^2(x) yb \gamma_{k-1} a + d^2(z) yb \gamma_k a$, then we can write

$$T(x, z) = t_1 + \dots + t_{n-1}.$$

Clearly $T(kx, z) = kt_1 + k^2 t_2 + \dots + k^{n-1} t_{n-1}$ for every integer k .

Since $T(kx, z) = 0$, for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, we have $t_{n-1} = 0$ by Lemma 1.1.

Note that $\gamma_{n-1} = d(x)^{n-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } 0 = t_{n-1} &= d^2(x) yb \gamma_{n-2} a + d^2(z) yb \gamma_{n-1} a \\ &= d^2(x) yb \gamma_{n-2} a + d^2(z) ybd(x)^{n-1} a. \end{aligned}$$

Using this relation and Lemma 1.3, for every $u \in R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (d^2(z) ybd(x)^{n-1} a) u d^2(z) ybd(x)^{n-1} a = \\ & (-d^2(x) yb \gamma_{n-2} a) u (d^2(z) ybd(x)^{n-1} a) = \\ & -d^2(x) (yb \gamma_{n-2} a u d^2(z) y) b d(x)^{n-1} a = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d^2(z) ybd(x)^{n-1} a = 0$ by the semiprimeness of R . \square

Lemma 1.4: For all $x \in R$, $bd(x)^2 a = 0$.

Proof: We replace z by x^2 in the relation 1.3.

$$\text{Then } d^2(x^2) yb d(x)^{n-1} a = 0.$$

This implies $d(d^2(x^2)) yb d(x)^{n-1} a = 0$.

$$\text{So } d(d(x)x + xd(x)) yb d(x)^{n-1} a = 0,$$

$$(d^2(x)x + xd(x)^2 + xd^2(x)) yb d(x)^{n-1} a = 0,$$

$$[d^2(x)x + 2(d(x))^2 + xd^2(x)] yb d(x)^{n-1} a = 0.$$

By Lemma 1.3, this relation reduces to

$$2d(x)^2 yb d(x)^{n-1} a = 0.$$

Let us assume that $n \geq 3$.

Then R is 2-torsion free by assumption.

$$\text{So } d(x)^2 yb d(x)^{n-1} a = 0.$$

Since y is arbitrary, we also have

$$d(x)^{n-1} a y b d(x)^{n-1} a = 0.$$

$$\text{Hence } b d(x)^{n-1} a y b d(x)^{n-1} a = 0.$$

By semiprimeness of R , we obtain

$$b d(x)^{n-1} a = 0.$$

Since n is any integer larger than 2 we have by induction $b d(x)^2 a = 0$. \square

Theorem 1.1: If R is a semiprime ring with a derivation d such that $b d(x)^n a = 0$ for all $a, b, x \in R$ and n is a positive integer, then $b d(x) a = 0$ for all $a, b, x \in R$. Moreover, if R is prime, then either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ or $d = 0$.

Proof: Let us assume that $b d(x)^n a = 0$ for all $x, a, b \in R$. By lemma 1.4, we may assume that $n = 2$.

Hence by the relation 1.3, we have $d^2(z) ybd(x) a = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in R$.

Since y is arbitrary, we have $b d^2(z) a y b d^2(x) a = 0$.

In particular, $b d^2(x) a y b d^2(x) a = 0$

$$\text{and also } b d^2(z) d(x) a y b d^2(z) d(x) a = 0$$

$$\text{which imply } b d^2(x) a = 0, \text{ for all } x \in R \text{ and } \quad 1.4$$

$$b d^2(z) d(x) a = 0, \text{ for all } x, z \in R \quad 1.5$$

by the semiprimeness of R .

We linearize $b d^2(x) a = 0$. Then we get $b d(x+y)^2 a = 0$.

That is, $b[d(x) + d(y)]^2 a = 0$ which implies

$$b d(x)^2 a + b d(y)^2 a + b d(x) d(y) a + b d(y) d(x) a = 0.$$

Using the equation 1.4, we obtain

$$b d(x) d(y) a + b d(y) d(x) a = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad 1.6$$

By replacing y by $ybd(x)$ in the equation 1.6, we get

$$bd(x)d(ybd(x))a + bd(ybd(x))d(x)a = 0.$$

This implies

$$bd(x)d(y)bd(x)a + bd(x)yd(b)d(x)a + bd(x)ybd^2(x)a + bd(y)bd(x)^2a + byd(b)d(x)^2a + bybd^2(x)d(x)a = 0.$$

Now, using the equations 1.4, 1.5 and $bd(x)^2a = 0$, this relation reduces to $bd(x)d(y)bd(x)a + bd(x)yd(b)d(x)a + byd(b)d(x)^2a = 0$.

Replacing b by $byd(b)$ in $bd(x)^2a = 0$, we get $byd(b)d(x)^2a = 0$. 1.7

Hence $bd(x)[d(y)b + yd(b)]d(x)a = 0$ implies

$$bd(x)d(yb)d(x)a = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad 1.8$$

Linearizing the equation 1.8, we obtain

$$bd(x+z)d(yb)d(x+z)a = 0, \\ bd(x)d(yb)d(x)a + bd(z)d(yb)d(x)a + bd(x)d(yb)d(z)a + bd(z)d(yb)d(z)a = 0.$$

Using the equation 1.8, we get,

$$bd(x)d(yb)d(z)a + bd(z)d(yb)d(x)a = 0. \quad 1.9$$

By taking $y = ybd(z)$ in the equation 1.9, we get

$$bd(x)d(ybd(z))d(z)a + bd(z)d(ybd(z))d(x)a = 0.$$

This implies

$$bd(x)d(y)bd(z)^2a + bd(x)yd(b)d(z)^2a + bd(x)ybd^2(z)d(x)a + bd(z)d(y)bd(z)d(x)a + bd(z)yd(b)d(z)d(x)a + bd(z)ybd^2(z)d(x)a = 0.$$

Using the equation 1.5 and $bd(z)^2a = 0$, we obtain

$$bd(z)d(y)bd(z)d(x)a + bd(z)yd(b)d(z)d(x)a + bd(x)yd(b)d(z)^2a = 0.$$

Replacing y by $d(x)y$ in the relation 1.7, we get

$$bd(x)yd(b)d(z)^2a = 0.$$

Therefore $bd(z)(d(yb) + yd(b))d(z)d(x)a = 0$.

Hence $bd(z)d(yb)d(z)d(x)a = 0$.

Put $y = ybd(x)u$ in this equation.

Then we have

$$bd(z)d(ybd(x)u)d(z)d(x)a = 0.$$

That is,

$$bd(z)[d(y)bd(x)u + yd(b)d(x)u + ybd^2(x)d(u) + ybd(x)d(u)]d(z)d(x)a = 0, \\ bd(z)d(y)bd(x)ud(z)d(x)a + bd(z)yd(b)d(x)ud(z)d(x)a + bd(z)ybd^2(x)d(u)d(z)d(x)a + bd(z)ybd(x)d(u)d(z)d(x)a = 0. \quad 1.10$$

By replacing y by $d(u)z$ in the equation 1.8, we obtain

$$bd(x)d(d(u)zb)d(x)a = 0 \\ bd(x)d^2(u)zbd(x)a + bd(x)d(u)d(zb)d(x)a = 0.$$

Using the equation 1.3, it reduces to

$$bd(x)d(u)d(zb)d(x)a = 0.$$

The equation 1.10 reduces to

$$bd(z)d(yb)d(x)ud(z)d(x)a = 0, \quad 1.11$$

for all $x, y, z, u \in Z$.

By replacing b by $bd(yb)$ in the equation 1.6

$$bd(yb)d(x)d(yb)a + bd(y)^2d(x)a = 0.$$

By the relation 1.7, it follows that $bd(yb)^2d(x)a = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$.

On linearizing we get $bd(yb+z)^2d(x)a = 0$,

$$bd(yb)^2d(x)a + bd(z)^2d(x)a + bd(yb)d(z)d(x)a + bd(z)d(yb)d(x)a = 0.$$

Using the equation 1.5, it reduces to

$$bd(yb)d(z)d(x)a + bd(z)d(yb)d(x)a = 0.$$

Since the element u the equation 1.11 is arbitrary, we also have

$$bd(z)d(yb)d(x)u + bd(y)d(z)d(x)a = 0.$$

Combining these two relations,

$$bd(z)d(yb)d(x)u + bd(z)d(yb)d(x)a = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R.$$

Since R is semiprime this relation implies

$$bd(z)d(yb)d(x)a = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad 1.12$$

By replacing $d(z)$ by $xd(z)$, we get

$$bxd(z)d(yb)d(x)a = 0. \quad 1.13$$

By substituting xz for z in the equation 1.12, we obtain

$$b d(xz) d(yb) d(x)a = 0.$$

This implies

$$bd(x)zd(yb)d(x)a + bxd(z)d(yb)d(z)a = 0.$$

Hence $bd(x)zd(yb)d(x)a = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in R$ by using the equation 1.12

which yields

$$bd(yb)d(x)a = 0, \text{ since } R \text{ is semiprime.}$$

Now, by replacing y by xyb , we get

$$bd(xyb)d(x)a = 0, \\ bd(x)ybd(x)a + bxd(yb)d(x)a = 0. \quad 1.14$$

By replacing $d(yb)$ by $xd(yb)$ in the equation 1.14, we get $bxd(yb)d(x)a = 0$, hence the above equation reduces to

$$bd(x)ybd(x)a = 0.$$

Since y is arbitrary, we have

$$bd(x)aybd(x)a = 0.$$

Hence $bd(x)a = 0$.

If R is prime then either $bd(x) = 0$ or $a = 0$ for all $x \in R$. Again by primeness of R we get either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ or $d(x) = 0$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is thus completed. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Chung,L.O., and Luh,J., Semiprime rings with nilpotent derivations Canad. Math. Bull. 24(4) (1981), 415-421.
- [2] Giambruno,A., Derivations with nilpotent values, Rend. And Herstein, I.N.Circ. Mat. Palermo, 30(1981), 199-206.
- [3] Bresar,M., A note on derivations, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 2(1990), 83-88.
- [4] Herstein, I.N., Center-like elements in prime rings, J. Algebra, 60(1979), 569-574.
- [5] Herstein, I.N., Derivations of prime rings having power central values, Algebraist's homage : Vol 13(1982), 163-171.

T.Madhavi

Asst. Professor, Ananthalakshmi Institute of Technology & Sciences, Anantapur (A.P.), India.

Prof K.Suvarna

Research Supervisor, Department of Mathematics,
S. K. University, Anantapur (A.P.), India.

IJSER